Introduction

Topic: Domestic resource mobilization (DRM)

- Part 1: Progress towards Smart, Scaled and Sustainable financing in GFF countries, including RMNCAH spending
- Part 2: Prospects for additional DRM
- Part 3: Lessons from experience to date with GFF countries
Data sources

- Global Health Expenditure Database of WHO, replicated in World Development Indicators of the WBG
- World Development Indicators for economic growth
Part 1: Progress towards Smart, Scaled and Sustainable financing in GFF countries, including RMNCAH spending
Smart, Scaled, Sustainable Financing

- **Smart financing**: interventions proven to have a high impact are prioritized and delivered in an efficient and results-focused way, while seeking to reduce inequities in coverage.

- **Scaled financing**: mobilizing the additional resources necessary from domestic and international (public and private) sources, while reducing reliance on direct out-of-pocket payments (OOPs).

- **Sustainable financing**: ensuring that health and RMNCAH funding benefits from economic growth, and addresses the challenges faced by “transition” countries.
National income for GFF countries

- 8 low income (LIC): DRC, Ethiopia, **Guinea**, Liberia, Mozambique, **Sierra Leone**, Tanzania, Uganda
- 8 lower middle income (LMIC): Bangladesh, Cameroon, **Guatemala**, Kenya, **Myanmar**, Nigeria, Senegal, Vietnam

- GDP per capita in 2015 (current prices) ranged from $456 in DRC to $3904 in Guatemala
- In general, the countries are poorer than the average for LICs and LMICs respectively:
  - Among LICs, only Tanzania and Uganda have GDP/cap above the mean for LICs
  - Among LMICs, only Guatemala and Nigeria
Real growth in GDP per capita: GFF, LICs, LMICs (weighted average)
Health expenditure data available to 2014

Total health expenditure per capita grew 2000-2014 in GFF countries as a group, reaching $67.6 per capita on average (weighted, current prices) in 2014

Heterogeneity: range from $19 in DRC to $233 in Guatemala

McIntryre and Meheus: estimated $89 per capita needed in 2014

- 12 countries: too little to assure a basic set of health services
- 4 countries (Guatemala, Nigeria, Sierra Leone and Vietnam) spent more than $89 per capita but a high proportion from direct out-of-pocket spending – need to increase prepaid and pooled funding
Growth rates of THE/capita vs GDP/capita 2000-14
Components of health expenditure growth

- Total health expenditure per capita can be broken into expenditure from external sources (development assistance for health [DAH]) and expenditure from domestic sources.
- We initially consider DAH versus external expenditure growth.
Components of real THE/capita growth: domestic versus external financing

2000-2014 (in GFF countries)

- **THE per capita**
- **External financing per capita**
- **THE minus external**
Heterogeneity in domestic versus external financing

Mozambique (2000-2014)

- THE per capita
- External financing per capita
- THE minus external
OOPs has fallen and GGHE risen as a share of THE
Real OOPs per capita has risen

THE components per capita (2000-2014)

Constant 2010 US$

Year

Public  Other private  OOP
Heterogeneity in OOPs per capita

OOP/capita in selected GFF countries (2000-2014)

Dollars, constant 2010

- Bangladesh
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Ethiopia
- Liberia
- Uganda
- United Republic of Tanzania
What about RMNCAH-related expenditures?

- 34 countries have produced disease-specific accounts – almost always included Reproductive Health (RH) but not always Child Health (CH) (WHO website)
- No information on A (Adolescents)
- GFF countries:
  - Public data on both RH and CH expenditures in 6 of 16 GFF countries (Cameroon, DRC, Ethiopia, Sierra Leone, Tanzania, Uganda)
  - 3 have done this but data not available yet (Kenya, Mozambique, Vietnam)
  - 4 in process (Bangladesh, Liberia, Nigeria, Senegal)
  - Only 3 have at least 2 years (DRC, Ethiopia, Uganda) not necessarily the same years
Share of THE for RH and CH expenditures?

- Share of health expenditures:
  - Reproductive health (RH): ranged from ~5% to >30%
  - Child health (CH): ranged from 5% to 40%

- 12 countries (GFF and non-GFF) with both RH and CH:
  - CH > RH in 8 countries
  - RH > CH in 4 countries

- Indicator of quality of data improves over time as countries get more experience in allocating expenditures by disease
  - Share of total health expenditures that they are able to allocate to the different diseases increases
Smart, scaled, sustainable financing: Summary

1. Enormous heterogeneity across countries – implications for policy

2. Smart: Current levels of spending too low to ensure an essential package
   - Not much available from these data in terms of efficiency
   - Little in terms of equity: need to dig deeper
   - RH and Child account for a substantial share of national expenditures on health: but data lacking for many countries

3. Scaled:
   - THE/capita increasing in real terms
   - OOPs declining as a share of THE – but real OOPs/capita increasing except in a few countries
   - Other sources of private expenditure still very low

4. Sustainable:
   - Good economic growth
   - THE rising faster than GDP overall, though not in all countries
   - DAH has risen faster since 2000 than domestically sourced health expenditure, but patterns very heterogeneous; in the long run, transition means that domestically sourced financing rises faster than DAH (or DAH declines)
PART 2: The potential for DRM in GFF countries
Health expenditure per capita still too low in 12 GFF countries to assure universal coverage with a core package of needed health services, including for RNMCAH

In the other 4, OOPs is a high share of THE

Exacerbated by DAH commitments and disbursements falling since 2012 (OECD)

Transition strategies of Gavi and Global Fund on top of traditional WBG shift when countries move to middle income from low income make DRM more important in those countries

BUT

Good growth predicted (although IMF economic growth projections have been revised down): for non-high income countries 4.1% 2016; 4.7% 2017 (heterogeneity)
1. Raising more – focus on GGHE (compulsory prepaid and pooled) as we do not want OOPs to increase
2. Giving higher priority for health in government expenditure
3. Greater efficiency or value for money
   - Efficiency proposed focus for next IG meeting
   - Role of private sector also worth discussing in the future
   - More recently: budget performance is also seen as a source of increased expenditure, though not revenue
Government expenditure as a share of GDP: LICs and LMICs

GGE as % of GDP (2014)

Median: 28.5%
What would happen if GGE/GDP was increased to the median?

Additional resources from increasing GGE/GDP ratio to the LIC/LMIC median (total, in billion)

Total of $14.1 billion additional funding raised annually
Government priority to health: GGHE/GGE

GGHE as a % GGE

Median: 9.7%
Government priority to health: increasing GGHE/GGE to median

Additional resources from reprioritising health spending (in billions)

Total of $3.36 billion additional funding generated annually
Let’s get ambitious: current + additional $/capita

1. Countries increase GGE/GDP to 30% where below
2. Then, countries more than one percentage point below the median GGHE/GGE increase to the median
3. Others except Ethiopia and Guatemala (already high) increase by 1 percentage point.
A recent WHO report, using World Bank Public Expenditure Reviews, highlighted that a number of GFF countries have not fully implemented their health budgets in selected recent years:

- DRC (2013) executed just over 40%
- Guinea (2014) under 70%
- Ethiopia (2013) under 80%
- Mozambique (2014) 90%

Complex reasons, but better financial performance could effectively increase expenditures in some countries.
PART 3: Experience from GFF countries and conclusions
 Significant heterogeneity ➞ need for tailored approaches

 Three main types of support:

- **Assess** the best options for DRM: conducting fiscal space analyses, estimating revenue generation potential for different options for raising resources

- **Develop approaches** for DRM: supporting government to prepare health financing strategies, supporting development and tracking of indicators related to public financing

- Provide **implementation support**: translating high-level strategies into implementation plans, supporting reform efforts through TA, capacity building, institutional strengthening, and financing

 Partnership and dialogue with **Ministry of Finance and sometimes IMF** critical
In Kenya the GFF in collaboration with external partners...

- Contributed to energizing the HFS process by
  - Working with GoK to set-up HFS coordination structure that ensured buy-in from key players and good dialogue with MOF
  - Providing intense TA to develop specific sections of HFS
  - Offering multiple rounds of comments on proposed strategic directions resulting in stronger focus on domestic resource mobilization and improving efficiency of health expenditure

- Will provide implementation support, focused on:
  - DRM: assessing the feasibility of generating health resources from sin taxes, levies and health insurance contributions in collaboration with the macroeconomic experts, MOH, MOF
  - Transition challenges: assess institutional and financial sustainability of programs funded off-budget
  - Efficiency: expenditure tracking at country level to analyze the efficiency, effectiveness and equity of public spending and development of actions to improve
In DRC, the GFF in collaboration with external partners...

- Contributed to energizing the health financing strategy (HFS) process by:
  - Supporting the WB and development partners to assist Govt with a health financing system assessment feeding the preparation of the health financing strategy.
  - Supported the finalization of the HFS led by the Ministry of Health

- Will provide implementation support, focused on:
  - **Efficiency reforms**: the Investment Case of DRC is capitalizing on “quick wins” recently implemented in DRC with support of WB and others donors: 1) The “single contract” at provincial level which is to reduce donors fragmentation; 2) The PBF approach which is to enhance management capacity at all levels of the health system; 3) Recommendations to come from a PFM study to improve the health budget execution.
  - **DRM reforms**: The action plan of the health financing strategy is to examine better tax compliance in collaboration with macroeconomic experts, MOF and the WB governance project.
THE risen faster than GDP in most GFF countries

DAH risen faster than domestic sources, but domestic financing has provided the bulk of the increase in real terms

OOPs has fallen (& GGHE risen) as a share of THE, BUT OOPs per capita increased in most
Considerable potential for DRM in most GFF countries, mostly through GGE/GDP, but also more priority to health in some
- Guinea and Mozambique less room for this

Recent falls in economic growth and government revenues are a concern

Some potential for increased spending through budget efficiency
GFF Health financing lessons and challenges

- **Very different starting points** among countries
- Shift underway from emphasizing strategy to **implementation of reforms**
- Good analytical work does not automatically lead to reforms - politics
- Engagement of and with ministries of finance has been **uneven**
- GFF can **reenergize agenda** with intense support: financing, TA, peer-to-peer learning, capacity building, convening partners including MOF

**Key lessons learned**

- GFF has given significant boost to process in many countries, but change is political and takes time
- Stronger experience and expertise on analytical work than on implementing reforms
- Syncing up the timing of the health financing work across all partners can be complex
- Dialogue with MOF (and IMF) difficult with the economic slowdown

**Ongoing challenges**
Learn more

www.globalfinancingfacility.org

GFF@worldbank.org

@theGFF