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1. How the GFF monitors Health Financing results
Time of engagement: countries where engagement is >3 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Medium term outcomes</th>
<th>Long term outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-3 years</td>
<td>Government leadership</td>
<td>Strengthen country platform</td>
<td>36 countries</td>
<td>8 countries</td>
<td>0 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder engagement</td>
<td>Convene investors (global and country)</td>
<td>All GFF countries</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Develop costed prioritized investment case</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence and knowledge</td>
<td>Identify required financing and systems reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td>DRC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>Identify required investments in data systems</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and analysis capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advocacy and communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global, multi-country investments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5 years</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10 years</td>
<td>Government leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact:
- Accelerated improvements in RMNCAH-N indicators ("results")
- Strengthened platform for PHC/UHC
### Bangladesh - What

#### Context

- **Efficiency**
  - Low capacity in budget planning, execution, and monitoring in the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW).
  - Space to improve efficiency in procurement.
  - Highly centralized budget.
  - Strengthening the procurement and financial management capacity of the MoHFW are key priorities of IC (SWAP/HSSP) and Health Care Financing Strategy (HCFS).

- **DRM**
  - Real per capita GDP has been increasing fast.
  - Total Health Expenditure (THE) per capita is very low (among the 3 lowest in the world) due to low share of health in Government budget and low public revenue collection. Health budget share has been increasing at a lower rate than GDP.

- **Financial Protection**
  - OOPs are high with low financial protection in case of illness. Partly reflecting very low government expenditure on health.

#### Reform

1. **Improving budget planning, preparation, and execution.** There are DLIs linked to preparation of Operational Plans and execution of budgets (repairs and maintenance for example).

2. **Improving the efficiency of the supply chain.** There are several interventions aimed at improving procurement of drugs and medical supplies and asset management.

3. **Increasing the resources allocated to frontline providers.** The IC (SWAP) includes interventions aimed at increasing both financial and human resources (midwives) to the frontlines (DLIs).

1. DRM was not part of the IC and the HCFS focused this agenda on developing insurance schemes for the informal sector workers and collecting premiums.

1. **Piloting a health social protection scheme** (known as SSK) as part of the Health Care Financing Strategy (2012-2032); pilot is under implementation but is not part of the SWAP.

2. **Implementation of maternal vouchers.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Progress/Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget planning and allocation are improved</td>
<td>Project financing SWAP: Health Sector Strengthening Project (P160846) 2017-2022</td>
<td>Most DLIs have been achieved or are under verification process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial management system is strengthened</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Government implemented the mid-term review of its program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset management is improved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement process is improved using information technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional capacity is developed for procurement and supply management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health budget execution rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health share of Government spending (GHE/GGE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA to assess options to reduce household OOP payments. This was an action point agreed in the annual review of HSSP (the IC). For coming months TA will be provided for the revision/strengthening of the SSK.</td>
<td>The SSK pilot has some challenges in implementation and remains small.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA included in the WB Bangladesh Health Financing and Fiduciary PASA (training and advocacy); analytics, including FSA</td>
<td>This is still not an area included in the IC.</td>
<td>The maternal voucher program is working well, but the package of services is limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Protection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PDO:
Strengthen the health, nutrition and population (HNP) sector's core management systems and delivery of essential HNP services with a focus on selected geographical areas.

Health financing supported by project:
6 DLIs related to health financing related to the reforms in public financial management within the health sector, with a strong focus on fiduciary reforms (budgeting formulation, monitoring, budget execution):
- Improved budget planning (DLI 2)
- Improving internal audit function and capacity (DLI 3)
- Expanding the asset management system of district hospitals (DLI 4)
- Improving public procurement through the introduction of the national e-procurement system in the health sector (DLI 5) and
- Reforming the Central Medical Store Depot (CMSD) (DLI 6)
- Availability of midwives for maternal care is increased (DLI 7)

GFF value added to health financing agenda:
Initially, GFF was only financing RMNCAH indicators in two divisions but later it was decided to pool all donor financing to allocate across all DLIs.
# Bangladesh – Results so far

## Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Share of health in total government budget</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>5.20%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health budget execution rate</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of public health resources spent on frontlines</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>33%*</td>
<td>31%*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget planning and allocation are improved: OPs approved including activities and budgets for achievement of DLIs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in the percentage (from FY2016) in baseline repair and maintenance expenditure at levels of Upazila and below</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>197.8%</td>
<td>197.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial management system is strengthened: FMAU (Financial Management and Audit Unit) recruitment rules are endorsed by MOPA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset management is improved: number of district-level referral facilities in which AMS is implemented</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement process is improved using IT: % of NCTs using e-GP issued by MOHFW</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 2,500 midwife posts are created by MOHFW and recruitment of midwives is underway</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Portfolio review
Health Financing focus areas across the portfolio

- Efficiency
- Domestic resource mobilization
- Financial protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moving resources to frontlines through PBF</th>
<th>Budget planning &amp; preparation</th>
<th>Budget execution</th>
<th>PFM rules that govern flow of funds to frontline providers</th>
<th>Fee exemption schemes</th>
<th>CBHI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget monitoring &amp; expenditure tracking</td>
<td>Decentralization of funds</td>
<td>Program-based budgeting</td>
<td>Strengthening drugs procurement More resources to frontlines through incentives</td>
<td>Increasing share of government budget allocated to health</td>
<td>SHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increasing domestic funding for IC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase domestic allocations to health insurance fund</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instruments used

- The **Investment Case**, including **Resource Mapping and Expenditure Tracking (RMET)**, as a tool for improving efficiency of resources

- The linking **IDA** to a sustainable health financing agenda

- The World Bank’s **convening power and knowledge**

- The **GFF Partnership** at global and country level for increased efficiency of DAH and joint advocacy for health financing reform
3 phases of RMET implementation in GFF countries

Category 1: Starting phase (22 countries)
- Country has recently started RM/ET
- RM/ET is heavily supported by external consultants

Category 2: Routine implementers (6 countries)
- Countries are routinely implementing RM (2-3 years)
- MOH requires some external support
- RM/ET used to some extent by both donors and MOH for health sector budgeting and planning

Category 3: Institutionalization and integration (8 countries)
- RM is implemented for 3-5 years under MOH’s lead
- Country is exploring linking financial management systems, RMET databases, and health information systems to systematize results monitoring
RMET in Ethiopia showing increased government contribution

FY 2018 / 2019

GAP: 26%

GVT: 39%

FY 2019 / 2020

GAP: 6%

GVT: 53%

- Government
- DFID
- UNICEF
- UNAIDS
- CDC
- Gap
- World Bank / GFF Trust Fund
- Global Fund
- SDC
- AICS
- CIFF
- Community contribution
- EU
- UNFPA
- Global Sanitation Fund
- WHO
- GAVI
- The Netherlands
- Irish Aid
- Channel 3 funds (off budget)
- AECID
RMET in Liberia showing increased donor alignment to the IC

2016-2020

Government: 28%

FY 2019 / 2020

Government: 30%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>2016-2020 GAP</th>
<th>FY 2019 / 2020 GAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMZ/German</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Bank/GFF Trust Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JICA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Aid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gavi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Leveraging IDA

- **72%** of the health financing support is linked to some form of RBF
- **18** projects include RBF or PBF
- **16%** ($420 million) spent on health financing reforms (including through DLIs)
- **8** of **29** projects (28% of projects) include DLIs with health financing objectives, half of those PFM related

![Bar Chart and Pie Chart showing allocation of funds and project distribution.](image)
Concluding remarks

- The HF agenda and results framework is country specific

- Focus lies on more resources going to PHC/frontlines – both through allocation and execution (PFM) -> country specific measurement is key!

- RBF can be important vehicle for HF reform but that link is not automatic

- Getting consensus on these results frameworks is very challenging but key if we want to move on the alignment agenda

- More traction when results are linked to financial instruments

- Populating these country specific results frameworks should become a shared responsibility
A RENEWED ERA OF PARTNERSHIP
LEVERAGING DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS RESOURCES
FOR SUSTAINABLE HEALTH FINANCING
IN ETHIOPIA
Upholds the principle of harmonization and alignment seriously
- All non-state actors buy into “one plan, one budget and one report”

Translation of global compacts and commitments into action
- Establishment of SDG PF, as a translation of the international aid harmonization and alignment compacts and commitments into actions

Early signatory of International Health Partnership plus (IHP+) compact & lead in taking commitments into action

Aligning stakeholders behind one national plan through building trust and confidence
- Use of GoE systems to reduce transaction and overhead costs, is flexible and promotes value for money and while still jointly setting priorities and review of performance.

Unprecedented support from DP over the years which has nurtured the culture of candid discussion and inclusion of lessons learned to the national health development efforts

Ethiopia – a decentralized system
- Effective use of existing country partnership platforms (both Government and partners) – national policies and strategies are implemented at the local (JCF, JCCC, JSC*, Annual Woreda based plan etc.)

Coordination with partners and with different levels of government

*JCF – joint consultative forum
JCC – joint core coordination committee
JSC = joint steering committee
(between MOH and regional health authorities)
Established in 2008, managed by MoH through a Joint Financing Arrangement (JFA) signed by all DP contributors and MoF. Contributor DPs: WB/GFF, European Union, DFID, The Netherlands, Italian Cooperation (IC), Irish Aid, Spanish Aid, GAVI, UNICEF, WHO and UNFPA

Fill HSTP financial gaps - in the priority areas of RMNCHY, HRH, Public Health infrastructure related interventions.

Resources are provided based on achievement of results (WB/GFF and EU) and based on fulfillment of disbursement triggers mostly at national level (RMNCAH, Supply Chain, Health Financing)

Key financing instrument to strengthen the health system and achieve sector/HSTP goals: Strengthen PHC, reduce MMR, U5MR, UHC, etc

Challenges: Unfinished agenda – Stunting, Adolescent health, Quality and Equity in health outcome, sustainable financing and rolling out of reforms to enhance efficiency and effectiveness in health spending.
**SDG PF 2020 REFORM**
- **ADDRESSING THE UNFINISHED AGENDA THROUGH REVAMPED PARTNERSHIP**

**Partnership — culture of candid discussions and mutual accountability for results**
- Joint identification of system constraints and designing reforms — JANS, HSTP II process
- Enhance aid predictability — DP financial commitment for the national sector plan
- Use of SDG PF resources to influence the overall government system for better Public Financial Management (PFM) reforms - TAs

**Improve efficiency and effectiveness in spending - More value for money**
- Well prioritized national plan -
- Focus in filling critical sector gap - focus on lagging agendas of equity and quality
- Focus on results - use of result-based approaches

**Sustainability of health financing**
- **Use of incentives for more DRM in Health** — Performance-Based Financing; target at the regional level; Disbursement Linked Indicators on DRM at regional level; regional marching fund
- **Leveraging donor resources** — Domestic resources to fill sector resource gap; DP resources to leverage that (Eg. GFF US$60)
- **Use of evidences for dialogue** — TA and different analytics
THANK YOU
Aligning Partner Support on Health Financing for UHC

Joseph Kutzin | Unit Head, Health Financing
| WHO |
Health Systems Governance and Financing Department
Background

- Sustainable Health Financing Accelerator *accelerated* discussions on better alignment around Health Financing

- Implementation at scale requires translation of the SHFA principles to country operations

- This session lays out a vision of how technical assistance and financial incentives through grants/loans can come together to support implementation of a HF results agenda and reduce transaction costs for countries

- Objective is to agree how all IG members can operationally commit to implementing this approach
Example: user fee removal for children and pregnant women

Implementation: must compensate providers for lost fee revenues

- PFM to enable funds to flow to frontlines with provider autonomy over their use
- Provider payment to maintain incentives for treating these population groups
- Data systems linked to payment mechanism and to ensure reporting/accountability

What does aligning around this as a strategy imply for DPs?

- It’s a sector reform, not a pilot (attribution to country rather than “my project”)
- Seek cross-cutting opportunities (e.g. information system, PFM, provider payment changes not only for this reform)
- Common monitoring framework – and support national analytic capacity and evidence-to-policy links
- Joint advocacy
**Selected support instruments being implemented in countries (SHFA+)**

**Technical**
- WHO
  - National Health Accounts (NHA)
  - HF Progress Matrix
- World Bank
  - PER, HFSA, PETS
- Bilaterals
  - Wide range of technical support, often with embedded TA

**Financial**
- Grant/loan funding
- GFF secretariat
  - Results framework
  - Resource mapping
- Grant funding
  - Gavi, GFATM
- Financial support to technical agencies
  - Bilaterals

---

**COHERENT?**
Towards more efficient support from partners

- Support and align with common plan (not a new idea, but needs to translate into action)

- Agencies reinforce roles and “natural comparative advantages”

- Define key points of intersection in partner support
  - Seek synergies in technical instruments and financial mechanisms (key aim of the SHFA Accelerator)

- Work with common national teams and support institutional development (e.g. policy units, purchasing agencies) -> reduce transaction costs for governments
Towards synergy with a focus on implementation support

- WHO Health Financing Progress Matrix (HFPM) assesses progress in health financing relative to global good practice

- Application of WHO normative guidance on health financing to country specificities - a systematic qualitative assessment (quantitative indicators as backup) of implementation and direction of reform

- Pulls together different, often independently conducted studies (e.g. WB Health Financing Systems Assessment) into a single common Framework

- Can inform the design (and later population) of GFF Results Framework: track and report on progress relative to key defined milestones

- Also underpins high-level assessment of AU Progress Tracker
Example: the HFPM and possible synergies

UHC goals and intermediate objectives influenced by health financing policy

Attributes of HF ASSOCIATED WITH GOOD PERFORMANCE
Causal link between HF function and goals/objectives

Evidence Systematic mapping to make more robust

Goals orientation for assessment

Improved pop. health & equity in health

Assessment questions organized by function
Progress levels for each question
Adapt to country specifics for agenda-setting and monitoring: an example

Q3.3 What measures are in place to address problems arising from fragmented pools?

- **Ghana**: partial NHI coverage, different data systems for insured and uninsured. Possible tailored progress measures:
  - Harmonize or unify data systems to enable population-based analysis and develop options to scale up coverage with more relevant data
  - One data entry unit per facility to consolidate staff effort
  - Justification: unified systems are on critical path to scaling up coverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRESS LEVELS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMERGING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are no compensating measures to address inequity and inefficiency arising from fragmentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROGRESSING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some measures in place to address inequity and inefficiency arising from fragmentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ESTABLISHED</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantial measures in place, though with room for improvement, to address inequity and inefficiency arising from fragmentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADVANCED</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation measures fully implemented to enable equity and efficiency challenges arising from pool fragmentation to be fully addressed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**HFPM can help define and sharpen Results Framework**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Possible Instruments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Percent of hospitals with one unified process for entry of data on all patients, regardless of insurance status</td>
<td>▪ TA to support the development of the data entry protocols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Annual inpatient statistics report derived from unified, individual records-based database on patient admissions</td>
<td>▪ Development of software through grant financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ DLIs based on the number of health facilities that are using the unified data system to incentivize scale up, or as a final payment linked to the establishment of the unified national database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Moving from ownership labels to country system coherence

- Diagnostics (HFSAs, PERs)
- Resource mapping and expenditure tracking
- National Health Accounts (NHA)

HF Progress Matrix

What next? Orients reform directions to desirable attributes

Health Financing Policy & Reform Agenda

Health Financing Results Framework

- Reform-specific Process Indicators
- Country-specific Outcome indicators
- Global outcome indicators (e.g. GHED)

Evidence-based TA for reforms

Disbursement-Linked Indicators (DLIs) or other incentives
2. Move toward unified national teams for production of closely-linked outputs

- Harmonize resource tracking processes
  - On-demand: Diagnostics (HFSAs, PERs)
  - Annual: Resource mapping and expenditure tracking, including NHA

**Health Financing Policy & Reform Agenda**

- Reform-specific Process Indicators
- Country-specific Outcome indicators
- Global outcome indicators (e.g. GHED)

**Health Financing Results Framework**

- Unified teams and harmonized processes for resource tracking and NHA
- Improve linkage between monitoring frameworks (e.g. progress matrix + RF + financial instruments)

**Evidence-based TA for reforms**

**Disbursement-Linked Indicators (DLIs)**
Build institutional foundations for the future

► Develop explicit strategies for policy design and analysis skills in national health system with three-pronged strategy
  − Demand for evidence, working with decision-makers
  − Supply of key products, through training, TA
  − Institutional platform that can attract and retain key staff (e.g. Health Policy Unit as semi-autonomous public agency)

► Facilitate peer knowledge exchange across countries
► More challenging, but not impossible, to fund through IDA/grant financing
WHO Commitments

- Annual reporting obligations within General Program of Work and Program Budget as part of WHO’s “Output Balanced Scorecard”
  - “Number of countries supported showing evidence of progress in their health financing arrangements”
  - “Increased number of countries producing country-specific health accounts using SHA2011 classifications”
  - “Increased number of countries that have completed or updated an analysis of financial protection”

- Alignment agenda within GAP process
- Buy-in of Regional Office health financing teams to align our exercises with partners
Members of GFF Investors Group
Thank You