



GFF Country Implementation Guidelines

Draft for consultation – GFF Country Implementation Workshop September 17-21, 2018



Why implementation guidelines now?

- The first 16 countries have reached implementation stage; countries have been requesting guidance to increase likelihood of impact
- Opportunity to apply early lessons from implementation:
 - Inconsistent approaches to the investment case poses challenges for results monitoring (e.g., boundaries)
 - Reduction of momentum in GFF in-country partnership after IC is developed
 - Unclear roles of members of the country platform during implementation
 - Difficulty aligning a large number of partners around IC
 - Insufficient participation of some stakeholders (financiers with no incountry-presence, private sector, civil society)
 - Inconsistent approach to use of data for decision making
 - Need clear theory of change for domestic resource mobilization
 - Ad-hoc approach to implementation research
 - Fragmented technical assistance
 - Insufficient attention given to advocacy and political processes
 - Insufficient communications on the GFF at country level

Purpose of the guidelines

- Provide guidance to **national** governments and other stakeholders (e.g., financiers, civil society, private sector, other national champions)
- Focus on the implementation stage of the GFF process
 - Complement the investment case guidelines and the Guidance Note on Multi-stakeholder Country Platforms
- Some adaptation to country context expected
- Build on existing experience of GFF implementation and feedback from stakeholders
- Will be updated regularly, as more implementation experience is gained
- Will be accompanied by a source book with country and global resources (leveraging existing resources)

Outline of the guidelines

- 1. Vision, mission
- 2. Scope of in-country engagement
- 3. GFF country platform
- 4. Health financing
- 5. Data for decision-making
- 6. Resource mapping and tracking
- 7. Implementation research
- 8. Technical assistance
- 9. Advocacy
- 10. Communication

GFF country platform

- *Nodal Ministry to notify in writing, to all national stakeholders, what constitutes the GFF platform
 - *Reviewed and reconfirmed annually during the annual review
 - *Names of platform members communicated (e.g., websites)
- *Country platform will likely need sub-committees
 - Data/evaluation/accountability, health financing, etc.
 - Use existing committees
- *Representatives from civil society and private sector should be selected from by their own constituency, rotation every 2 years?
 - Resources required to enable civil society to engage at country level
 - Opportunity to structure private sector engagement in health

GFF country platform

- Platform meets at least twice per year
 - *Quorum from each type of stakeholder?
 - Focus on resources and results (at a national and subnational level, using multiple data sources, including financial data)
 - *Implementation plan to assign specific roles and responsibilities
 - *Meeting minutes to be disseminated, to enable partners with no country presence to remain engaged
 - *Try to connect partners with no country presence whenever feasible
 - *Review the need for implementation research based on gaps in the program and need to find efficiencies
- *Self-assessment of GFF implementation carried out annually (tool for the assessment tested in workshop this week)
- *GFF Champions: engage prominent opinion leaders, in addition to GFF platform members
 - Not part of the core GFF platform, but create an enabling environment
 - Business leaders, youth, religious leaders, media personalities, Parliamentarians, etc.

Health Financing

- *Health Financing Work Program
 - Theory of change to cover short and medium term milestones, with a long term (e.g., 10-15 year) horizon
 - More specific work program over the initial 3-5 years
 - Tailored approaches: technical assistance, analytical work, pilots, evaluations/implementation research and advocacy
 - Mutual accountability: formal link between overall GFF investments in-country and the health financing work program
 - Alignment with timing of the national budgetary process
 - Supports the IC but is separate and longer term
- *Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) to be signed with government when a (financial) partner joins the GFF in-country
- *Annual tracking of IC resource expenditures is integral part of GFF implementation
 - *Discussed during GFF Annual review

Data for decision making and learning

- *Key function of quarterly country platform meetings is the review of dashboard data and decisions on re-allocations
 - *Requires a data-sub group to define and prepare the dashboards ahead of the meetings
- *Annual review: more comprehensive, including self assessment of the platform and of likelihood of reaching objectives of the IC
- *Performance dashboards at national and sub-national levels
 - Build on existing dashboards
 - *Standardized indicators and some country-specific indicators
 - *Based on clear theory of change (global evidence as well as country context), determining baselines and setting annual targets
 - Roll-up of data for global GFF reporting
 - Combination of routine and survey data
- *GFF MOU includes agreement to share data on:
 - Allocations and expenditures, process, coverage, impact

Implementation research

- *Implementation research plan as part of the overall investment case implementation plan
 - Expected to be an area where a range of partners (e.g., local academia, CSOs) can engage on IC implementation
 - To be financed as part of the IC
- *Regular reviews through the GFF country platform
- *Disseminate results
 - Websites, newsletters, etc.
 - Larger results conferences/workshops as appropriate
- *Contribution to GFF knowledge and learning agenda
 - Context specific → draw some global lessons

Technical assistance

- *Country-based mechanism to source the TA:
 - Identify the needs (e.g., bottleneck analysis)
 - Map available TA
 - Develop TA matrix and fill gaps
 - Realign existing **financing** and seek additional funds from country platform members as required
- *GFF Source Book: first point of reference for countries to access the best available know how and avoid duplication
- *Regular reviews of TA implementation
 - *Sub-committee of the country platform
- *Collaboration with national and regional institutions, facilitated through network of GFF Liaison Officers

Advocacy

- *Political economy analysis will form the basis of the advocacy strategy
 - Integrate existing findings (e.g., World Bank Strategic Country Diagnostics)
- *Advocacy strategy to be developed aligned to the IC implementation plan, but independent from government
 - Leader to be designated within the platform membership
 - Long-term and short-term
 - Specific actions linked to key members of the country platform
- *Opportunity to engage with national and international CSOs
 - Including harmonizing as necessary existing CSO advocacy plans
- *Specific "asks" for the group of champions (e.g., MPs)

Communication

- *Communications strategy to be developed and implemented by the country platform
 - Initial focus on familiarizing with the GFF approach → gradual shift to communicating results
- *GFF Secretariat, through the GFF Liaison Officer, will share communications "tool box" to ensure consistency of messaging, while maintaining country flexibility
- *Progress on communications strategy implementation reviewed regularly by the country platform

Café-style consultations

Feedback requested:

- Will the proposed actions add value?
- Are they feasible/practical?
- Are there other actions you would recommend?
- Are there actions you would suggest removing entirely?
 Would you replace them with something else?

Ways to provide feedback:

- Write on poster
- Provide comments in envelopes today and rest of the week
- Send email to llaviolette@worldbank.org